SINGLE BENCH (1)

c.s. 100/-

03

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, AT BILASPUR

CHHATTISGARH

WRIT PETITION NO. 1527 **/2005**

PETITIONER : Narayan Prasad Gupta, S/o. Netram Gupta,, aged about 49 years, At present resident of Village-Pendri, Post Kunda Tah.Pandariya, Dist. Kawardha (C.G.).

Versus

RESPONDENTS

1) State of Chhattisgarh,
Through Secretary,
School Education Department,
Mantralaya, D.K.S.Bhawan,
G.E.Road, Raipur (C.G.).

2) Director of Public Instructions,
Govt. of Chhattisgarh,
Directorate of Public Instructions,
(DPI Office) Pension Bada,
Raipur (C.G.)

3) Deputy Director of Public Instruction,
Govt. of Chhattisgarh,
Education Department,
Education District Bilaspur, (C.G.).

P.R. No. 1560/05 A.L.R. Hig. Presented on the 07-04-05 dated.....

RECEIVED COPY 7.4.

CLERK to R. G. BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC—78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

16

मामला क्रमांक WP 1527/05 सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	25.4.2005 Mr. Alok Nigam, counsel for the petitioner. Mr. Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State. Heard. The case of the petitioner is that in the year 1981 the Education Department of erstwhile State of M.P. conducted a test for the appointment of Assistant Teachers in the Government Primary Schools. In that test the petitioner was selected and accordingly, he was appointed on daily wages for a period of three months. However, the services of the petitioner were discontinued and vacancy which arises on account of the discontinuation of the petitioner's services was filled by the State of M.P. Thereafter, the petitioner is regularly making representations for considering the petitioner to the appointment on the post of teacher. Further case of the petitioner is that in the year 1997 the erstwhile State of M.P. took a decision to appoint the ad-hoc teachers in place of Shiksha Karmi but same is not being implemented by the State of Chhattisgarh. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner may be allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 raising all his grievances and respondent No.2 be directed to decide his representation, to which learned counsel for the respondent has no objection. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a representation within a period of ten days from today to respondent No.2 raising all his grievances and in turn respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation of the petitioner within another three months from the date of receipt of the representation after considering all the grievances raised by the petitioner in accordance with law. With the direction aforesaid, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, I.A. Nos.2961/05 & 2962/05 also stand disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	